



CONNECTICUT LEGAL SERVICES

A PRIVATE NONPROFIT CORPORATION

16 MAIN STREET NEW BRITAIN, CT 06051

TELEPHONE (860) 225-8678

FAX (860) 225-6105

E-MAIL NEWBRITAIN@CONNLEGALSERVICES.ORG

JOANNE LEWIS
MANAGING ATTORNEY
OFFICE

KRISTEN NOELLE HATCHER
MANAGING ATTORNEY
BENEFITS UNIT

NILDA R. HAVRILLA
MANAGING ATTORNEY
HOUSING UNIT

AGATA RASZCZYK-LAWSKA
MANAGING ATTORNEY
CHILDREN AT RISK UNIT

JOHN P. SPILKA
MANAGING ATTORNEY
DISABILITY (SSI) UNIT

NEIL L. BROCKWEHL
MICHAEL BURNS
MYKLYN MAHONEY
RANDI FAITH MEZZY
MARTIN WHEELER
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MARIA HUERTAS
TERESITA TORRES-ARROYO
LORELEI WEAVER
LEGAL ASSISTANTS

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
62 WASHINGTON STREET
MIDDLETOWN, CT 06457
(860) 344-0447

ROSS H. GARBER
BOARD CHAIR

STEVEN D. EPPLER-EPSTEIN
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LAW OFFICES
211 STATE STREET
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604

16 MAIN STREET
NEW BRITAIN, CT 06051

153 WILLIAMS STREET
NEW LONDON, CT 06320

20 SUMMER STREET
STAMFORD, CT 06901

85 CENTRAL AVENUE
WATERBURY, CT 06702

872 MAIN STREET
WILLIMANTIC, CT 06226

SATELLITE OFFICES

5 COLONY STREET
MERIDEN, CT 06451

98 SOUTH MAIN STREET
SOUTH NORWALK, CT 06854

20 NAEK ROAD, SUITE 5A



TESTIMONY OF CONNECTICUT LEGAL SERVICES, INC. FOR THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

FEBRUARY 27, 2015

IN OPPOSITION TO RAISED H. B. NO 6824

AN ACT CONCERNING THE STATE BUDGET FOR THE BIENNium ENDING JUNE THIRTIETH 2017, AND MAKING APPROPRIATIONS THEREFOR AND OTHER PROVISIONS RELATED TO REVENUE

Good evening Representative Walker, Senator Bye, and members of the Appropriations Committee. This testimony is being submitted on behalf of Connecticut Legal Services, Inc. (CLS). My name is Agata Raszczyk-Lawska and I am the Managing Attorney of CLS' Children-at-Risk unit. Legal services attorneys have been representing low-income families and students across the state on educational and child protection issues for over 30 years.

CLS does not support the portion of the bill regarding the proposed move of Court Support Services Division (CSSD) juvenile justice function to the Department of Children and Families (DCF), nor do we support the \$10 million budget reduction in pre-adjudication services for children.

CSSD led a number of progressive moves in the last few years that received national attention due to their successes. The implementation of Raise the Age (providing for 16 and 17 year olds to be moved from the adult criminal system to the juvenile justice system), the increase in the availability and variety of therapies and programming for court-involved youth, and the demonstrated commitment to data-driven planning and outcomes are just a few of the reasons CSSD has achieved reductions in juvenile recidivism and juvenile incarceration. The numerous success stories coming from our client children who benefitted from CSSD involvement prove that CSSD should remain in its current incarnation and continue to receive adequate funding.

CSSD is currently handling the bulk, about 97%, of juvenile justice services, while DCF's primary function is with regard to child protection. DCF's juvenile justice expertise is limited to incarcerated children and children needing out of home placements. Structurally, the expertise and focus developed at CSSD in dealing with this population is quite different from DCF's approach. And despite the influx of the Raise the Age youth, CSSD still saved the state \$2 million on juvenile justice in the last 10 years.

CSSD also has been very collaborative with legal services, particularly with regard to Educational Support Services (ESS). ESS is a partnership in which CSSD refers court-involved youth to legal services to address educational needs. Since 2008, we have handled several hundred ESS cases yearly. The population we serve is arguably one of the neediest and most disenfranchised, as the bulk of our CSSD-referred cases are children of color and kids with disabilities, both diagnosed and undiagnosed, and particularly children with major mental and behavioral health needs. Most of these

children are struggling not only with juvenile justice issues, but also educational issues. CSSD's acknowledgement of this overlap and willingness to successfully pilot and run ESS has allowed these children to receive free, quality legal assistance to address those issues. Through our partnership, CLS has been able to ensure disabled children are identified for and have appropriate special education programming, obtain evaluations that will help their schools identify and address issues such as ADHD, traumatic brain injury, or dyslexia, receive accommodations to which they are entitled under federal and state law, and that their families will have the knowledge to continue advocating for them after an attorney is no longer involved. This ultimately means that the kids will have a better shot at being able to attend school, learn while they are in school, graduate, and become successful adults.

The \$10 million reduction in pre-adjudication services will also be problematic. These services include various in-home therapies and community programming designed to work directly with the highest need children and their families. We have seen tremendous outcomes for children as a result of substance abuse, mental health, and trauma treatments, as well as family and individual therapy and anger management, all of which CSSD has ensured are successful evidence-based programs. The reduction in recidivism rates for these children stemming from completion of these programs and therapies means the kids are staying out of jail and that the state is saving money.

CSSD has done a fantastic job in:

- increasing the availability of in-home and community programming,
- identifying the intersection in juvenile justice involvement and school-based issues,
- improving needs and safety assessments, and
- working with the kids' families to limit their involvement with the juvenile justice system and reduce recidivism rates.

All of these things have been proven to improve educational outcomes. This proposal dismantles the effective infrastructure that has allowed us to reach those children who are most in need of legal services, many of whom we may not have otherwise been able to reach.

For these reasons, CLS urges the Appropriations Committee to oppose the above-referenced portions of H. B. NO 6824, An Act Concerning the State Budget for the Biennium ending June Thirtieth 2017 and Making Appropriations Therefor and Other Provisions related to Revenue. Thank you for your time and your consideration.

Submitted by:

Connecticut Legal Services